1913
(Contd.)

File No. 8

S.L No. 1-9.11

Complaint against the Amildar [illegible]
Athakatti of Hagadi Taluk. (Mr. H. Ranga
Rao B.A)

G. R. 8. 58]

Previous references.

CS-76-09(1-2) 1913.14.

(CS)

File No. 83-13

Serial Nos. 1-9. 11+13

Later references.

CS 79-14(1-2)B.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-9. 11+13

Part B for March 14

SUBJECT.

Complaint against the Assistant Magistrate of Magadi Taluk (Mr. M. Rajanath Rao B.A.).

---

1. To The Dm. Bg. D.O. J742 of 28/8/13.
   Calls for original records of all the cases in which application for the transfer of criminal cases from the file of the Assistant Magistrate of Magadi were made.
2. To The Dy Comm. Bg. D.O. J743 of 28/8/13.
   Calls for a free & confidential report regarding the above case (Sl No. 1).
3. From The D. M. Bg. D.O No. 114 of 30/8/13.
   Forwards the records called for (Sl No. 1)

P.T.O.

GPB—5,000—22-10-13

M. Ghouse
19.10.14

4 To the Dm. Bf. J 895 of 11/9/13.
Points out the absence of order of the Dm on mine cases, enquires for the reason where no order have been passed.

5 To the Dm. Bf. D.O. 896 of 11/9/14
Returns the record of 4 cases, as they do not relate to the file of the Amildar. magle. made.

6. From the Dm. Bf. S 269 of 11/9/13.
Calls that mine cases 11 of 13 was dismissed

7 From the Dm. Bf. 145 of 12/9/13
Forwards the orders of the Dm. called for.

8 To the Dm. Bf. D.O. 1261 of 25/10/13.
Requests him to expedite the submission of the report therein called for.

9. From the Dy Comm Bf. 202 of 5/11/13.
Forwards for information Govt copy of a D.O. letter of 28/10/13 from the Sub. Dr officer, Closepet on the matter to say that the enquiry is not yet completed

11. From the Dy Comm Bf. D.O 1865 of 19 12/13.
Forwards for information copy of Mr Ananda Rao Sirsi letter No. 19 of 18 Dec 13 & the records in original.

12. To the D. M. Bf. J 2763 of 23/3/14
Returns the enclosed mine petition received & also the records.

[G.R.S. 11] 1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 85-13

FILE HEADING.

Complaints against the Amildar-Kapistali & Magazi Seluk. (Mr. M. Ranganatha Rao B.A.)

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-8-11

Mr T. Ramachendra Row :-

Pleader & District Board Member.

Allegations against Mr Raghunatha Row BA Bundar of Nagadi.

I Recorded under the oral orders of the Diwan

A

1. About 20 cases or so within the past 2 or 3 months have been transferred from the Criminal file of the Bundari Mag. on affidavits filed by the party.

2. Some of the affidavits allege that the Bundari Magt has permitted one Sathapathy Iyer to practice as Mukhtear.

3. This Sathapathy Iyer was living with the Bundar Mag for a time - their contracts were given to him by the Bundar & now he is allowed to practice in his Court.

4. This is not necessary as there is a pleader (myself) & two old Mukhtears there.

B: 2 There is suspicion in the minds of the people that all is not well with reference to moneys collected by the Bundari for the Exhibition at Thirumalai. About Rs 400 or Rs 500 were collected as private subscription, bowers grants from D. F & they to the extent of about Rs 300.

C: 3 Petitions are not received easily. Petrs are threatened. There is a general fear to make petitions to the Bundar in person.

22/8/13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 85 of 1913

Serial No.

1 A draft d.o. letter to Mr Chandy is put up.

Dewan
23/8

2 Dewan
through 1st Counsel

23/8 Dewan 23/8

3 Two separate drafts as personally instructed by the 1st Counsellor are put up.

Dewan
25/8

4 I think the new drafts may be

25/8/13

Dewan

Dewan
27/8

5 Drafts approved.

Hon'ble minds of pending cases will not be called for.

28.8.13 RO

28/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-C-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 1913

Serial No.	
1	Do. no J. 742 dated 28-8-13. from Mr. R. Chandy, District Magistrate Bangalore. Calls for original records of all the cases in which applications for the transfer of criminal cases from the file of the Amildar Magistrate of Magadi were made during the past six months.
2	Do no J 743 dated 28-8-13 to Mr. R. Chandy Deputy Commr Bangalore- Calls for a full and confidential report regarding certain alleged irregularities in connection with the exhibition lately held at Sirumale in the Magadi taluk.
3	D.O. no 114 dated 30-8-13 from Mr. R. Chandy District Magistrate Bangalore Forwards the records called for in docket no 1 Subd the records forwarded by the District-magistrate consist of 15 applications for transfer, of which 8 are in English & 7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GIPE—65,000—17-6-12.

C. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | No File No. 85 of 1913

Serial No.

in Kanarese

To The following is the abstract of each Transfer application before the District Magistrate of Bangalore:-

(1) Crim Misc petition no 33 of 1912-13.

Reasons stated with transfer:

The amildar magistrate declined to receive complaint which is against his own daffedar.

The case has been adjourned in spite of the presence of parties.

Petr was withdrawn SPM

(2) Crim. Misc petition no 49 of 1912-13

Reasons stated with transfer:-

Subject matter of the petitioners case against the opponent on the file of the Asst Comm'r of 1st class Magistrate of Closepett and of the case of the accused against the petitioner on the file of the amildar magistrate of Magadi, being offences alleged to be committed to have been committed at the same time & place in respect of the same land, all of them shd. be tried in the Court of the 1st class magistrate of Closepett.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GIP—65,000—17-6-12.

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

A File No. 85 of 191

Serial No.

(3) Crim. Misc petition no 48 of 1912-13.

Reasons for the transfer :-

A mokhtiyar practising in the court of the Amildar Magistrate of Mayadi threatened owing his influence against the petitioners petitioners - accused in a criminal case in the file of that Magistrate. as he had not been engaged by them. The case was proceeded with in spite of intimation that a transfer had been applied for.

(4) Crim. Misc petition no 72 of 1912-13

Reasons for the transfer :-

The petitioner was not given time by the Amildar Magistrate of Mayadi to engage a lawyer and the complainant in the case is backed by a Sowcar a prosecution witness and an intimate friend of the Magistrate.

(5) Crim Misc petition no 45 of 1912-13

Reasons for the transfer.

Petitioner's opponent filed a cross complaint against him before the same Magistrate (Amildar of Mayadi) who dismissed petitioner's case during his absence and has awarded

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G I P—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.

arrested him in the other case. A fair and impartial trial is therefore unlikely.

(6) Crim misc petition No 32 of 12-13

Reasons for the transfer:

The petitioner's opponent is the amildar magistrate's servant. Case adjourned the parties were present. The amildar magistrate (Mapadi) is unwilling to go on with the case. Fair impartial trial unlikely.

(7) crim misc petition No 14 of 1912-13

Reasons for the transfer:

Petitioner's case and that of her son in law for assault against the same opponents in the court of the amildar magistrate of Kankanhalli were being tried and adjourned and the together tried and adjourned together; the accused in the latter case were acquitted; and fair and impartial trial of the former case is unlikely.

[Mr. C. Srinivasa Rau is the Amildar magistrate at Kankanhalli from Dec 1911] KH

This case does not relate to Mr Ranganatha Rao CR

G I P—65,000—17-6-12.

C. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 85 of 191

Serial No.

(8) Crim. Misc petition no 12 of 1912.
(crim case no 108 of 1911-12. on the file of the A/C comm'r & Dt. class magistrate of Chenkottai sub division)

The order of discharge in the above case is stated to be illegal and improper therefore the it should be set aside & the lower court directed to hold further enquiry.

This does not relate to M. Ranganatha Rao.

(9) Crim misc petition no 65 of 1912-13

Reasons for the transfer:

Petitioner's opponent in C. case no 117 of 1912-13 on the file of the Ambur magistrate is Nagadi is his intimate friend and the magistrate threatens that he will punish her if she does not give up the case — the subject matter of suit — to her opponent.

(10) Crim misc petition no 11 of 1912-13

This case on the file of the Ambur magistrate is Dodaballapur does not relate to M. Ranganatha Rao

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G I P—65,000—17-6-12.

C. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 85 of 1913

Serial No.	
	(11) Crim Misc petition No 13 of 1912-13. Reasons for transfer: Unnecessary delay in the disposal of the case which is reported by the amildar magistrate of Mapadi as being of a civil nature.
	(12) Crim Misc petition No 17 of 1912-13 This case is on the file of the amildar magistrate of Nalavanpala and does not relate to Mr. Ramanatha Rao.
	(13) Crim Misc petition No 47 of 1912-13 This relates to a complaint first filed in the court of the District magistrate of Bangalore and transferred to the file of the amildar magistrate of Mapadi for enquiry. Reasons for the transfer: complainant's deposition as stated by him was not recorded by the amildar magistrate and two of the opponents in the case have been discharged without enquiry.
	(14) Crim. Misc petition No 46 of 1912-13 Reasons for the transfer: Discharge of two of the petitioners opponents and failure of the amildar

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G1P—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.

magistrate to record petitioner's depositions as stated by him.

(15) Crim Misc petition no 11 of 1913-14
This appears to be a Kannada duplicate ~~application~~ copy of crim. misc. petition no 45 of 1912-13
11 [The original papers have been returned to the district magistrate of Bangalore as directed on 2.9.13]

In order

Ille
3.9.13. 16 Of the 16 records sent up by the Dt magte, four cases do not relate to the Amildar. magte of Nazardi. There are thus 11 records only which relate to cases before the Amildar magte.

11 Of these 11 applications, final orders seem to have been passed by the Dt magte only in two cases in which the petitions have been allowed to be withdrawn. In the other 9 cases, there is no indication of any orders having been passed by the Dt magte. It is

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/5 File No. 85 of 1913

Serial No.

therefore not quite possible to judge at this stage how far the allegations contained in the petn have any validity or price. It is not known why they are kept pending without orders being passed on them.

Submd for orders

Dr Secy 8/9

12) Please put up a d.o. draft requiring whether no orders have yet been passed in the cases referred to & also why and requesting that No 17 may be sent for perusal & return & that the result in No 11 may be intimated as soon as the case is disposed of. Dr Secy 9/9

13) The four cases, not concerned, may be returned with the d.o. after approval with suitable observation. Dr Secy 9/9

Two d.o. drafts are submitted for approval, one making the inquiry and requisition as directed in para 12 & the other returning with certain remarks as directed in para 13 of the Deputy Secy's note.

NRC 9.9.13

15 Dr Secy 9/9

1st Councillor

Drafts approd: by 1st Councillor 10.9.13. 9/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G I P—65,000—17-6-12.

Urgent

SPM 11/9

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No File No. 85 of 1913

Serial No.	
4	D.O. No. J 895 dated 11-9-13 to R. Chandy Esqr District Magistrate Bangalore Points out the absence of orders of the District Magistrate in misc. cases marginally noted thereon, and inquires for the reason where no Orders have been passed and desires the forwardal of orders where they have been passed. Also desires the submission of records in crim. Misc. petition No 17 of 1913 and a report of the result of application No 11 of 13 after its disposal.
5	Do No. 896 dated 11-9-13 to R. Chandy Esqr. District Magistrate Bangalore Returns the records of 4 cases marginally noted therein as they do not relate to the file of the assistant magistrate of Magadi.
	From the District Magistrate of Bangalore No. S 269 dated 11-9-13. States that misc. case 11 of 13 which had been posted for hearing on the 6th inst was dismissed as the allegations made against the assistant magistrate of Magadi were reported to be untrue & the party was also not present on the above date.

Note—Contn. sheet.

G I P—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No File No. 85 of 1913

Serial No.	
7	From K Chandy Esq District Magistrate of Bangalore Do. No 145 dated 12-9-13. Forwards the orders of the District Magistrate called for in this office d.o. No J895-crls-85-13-4 dated 11-9-13 with the records of misc. case No 17 and cmp No 12 of 1913-14. 2 In Serial 6, the District-magistrate observes in respect of cmp. misc cmp No 11 of 1913-14 on his file as follows:— (a) allegations of petitioners wrongful confinement by the amildar magistrate of Nagar and other allegations mentioned therein, as reasons for the transfer of the case from the amildar's file have been categorically denied by the amildar. (b) neither the petitioner nor his vakil was present on the day of hearing. (c) the case therefore has been dismissed. 3 In the opinion of the district-magistrate the charge of wrongful confinement brought by the petitioner against the amildar Magistrate is serious enough as to justify the amildar being directed to prosecute

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G I P—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.

the petitioner for defamation provided the latter does not take steps within a certain period against the amildar for his alleged wrongful confinement.

(2) The District Magistrate forwards with Serial No 7 papers containing orders in all crim. misc. cases referred to in Serial 4, and

two additional cases (crim. misc. case no. 12 and no 17 of 1913-14) on his file, & explains the transfer of the original cases from the file of the amildar-magistrate of Mapadi.

21 of the 13 crim. misc. applications for transfer of cases from the file of the amildar-magistrate of Mapadi, 8 applications have been rejected and 5 granted.

Reasons given by the District Magistrate in each of the applications granted are summarised below:-

(1) Crim. Misc case no 65 of 12-13.

Grounds:- petitioner (widow) apprehends a fair & impartial trial unlikely;

transfer of the case to the file of another magistrate Expedient.

(2) Crim. Misc. Case no 63 of 12-13.

Grounds:- another case against the same accused was dismissed

Serial No 14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

by the amildar. magistrate who may not therefore give a fair and impartial hearing in this case.

(3) Crim. Misc. Case no 48 of 12-13.
Grounds:- In spite of a written intimation from the petitioners' vakeel that a transfer of the case was applied for before the District Magistrate, and on the very day of the receipt of that intimation, amildar. magistrate proceeded with the case & convicted the petitioners thereby showing that he was prejudiced against them.

(4) Crim. Misc. case no 49 of 12-13.
Grounds:— cases nos. 59 and 69 of 12-13 on the file of the Amildar. Magistrate and case no 95 of 12-13 on the file of the 1st Class Magistrate of Moorpett appear to be cross complaints and all the 3 cases therefore should be tried by the latter Magistrate.

(5) Crim. Misc. case no 17 of 13-14.
Grounds: Reasons stated in the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G I P—65,000—17-6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Court File No. 85 of 1913

Serial No.

petitioner's affidavit accompanying his application for transfer.

In respect of crim. misc. no 12 of 13-14, in which the transfer was not applied for was not granted, the District Magistrate refers to certain irregularities on the part of the Amildar Magistrate of Thapadi.

2nd In this office d.o. no J 743 of 28th August, a report in the matter of certain irregularities in the exhibition at Tirumale was called for. Final report may perhaps be awaited.

Nil

16-9-13.

Dr Secy)

SDN 16/9

The Addl Secy will kindly note upon this previous orders, if any. I presume the Cr. Records are now completely received.

Draw 17/9

18th The fact that so many as thirteen transfer applications were made during this year shows by itself that there is something wrong with the criminal work of the Magte & that the litigant people are not quite satisfied with the manner

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

in which Crl Cases are disposed of by him. It is true that the allegations are not of a serious character in all the cases, some applications such as in Mrs Petns 46 + 47 being quite frivolous. But in three or four cases, some serious charges were brought against the Magte. For instance in this Case No 11 of 1913-14 the allegation was that the petr was ordered to be confined by the Amildar Magte for a day without any cause. In his letter of 11-9-13, the Dt Magte suggests that the Amildar may be asked to prosecute the petr for defamation, as an enquiry by them on the executive side will not be satisfactory. This is by no means clear and the proper step to be taken by the D.M.te seems to be to hold an departmental enquiry, even even though the petr did not prove the allegation, as he offered to do. Perhaps the Dt Magte may be asked to conduct an enquiry on this matter.

There are two other cases Crl Mis Nos 48 + 65 which require

NOTE—Contra sheet.

G I P—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cls File No. 85 of 191

Serial No.

Some notice. In the former, the chief allegation made is that one Vythianatha who is reported to be a protégé of the amildar volunteered his services as a Muktear but that, as they were declined, he used this influence with the amildar to prejudice him against the petr. The Dt magte, in his order did not record any finding on this point but transferred the case from the magte's file on the ground that his action in not granting an adjournment indicates prejudice. That this Vythinatha, Muktear is being given some latitude by the amildar is borne out by the Dt magte's remarks in Crl Mis Case No 12 of 13-14 wherein he points out that it is irregular to allow a man who does contract work for the amildar to practice as a Muktear before him.

Except in the four cases noted above, the other transfer applications seem to be of the usual kind, containing vague allegations making out prejudice as to the improbability of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-8-12.

Serial No.

obtaining fair trial in the magte' Court.

It may be noted that of the 13 applications for transfer presented before the Dt magte, 5 were granted & the rest rejected. In none of them was there any hint or allegation of dishonest motive such as corruption etc

Submd for orders

Dy Secy

SDM 25/9

The proceedings in the following cases seem to call for notice:—

(i) Mrs case No 11. (13-14)

(ii) " 48

(iii) " 65

(iv) " 12 (13-14)

No reply is received yet about the enquiry regarding the accounts of Thirumalai Exhibition.

1st Comaltor

Dy Secy 27/9 19

Mrs. Case 11 & D. M's letter founded thereon. I do not see any defamation of the Asst in alleging that he committed the petr under a (legal) warrant to lockup. The other allegations are either silly or

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G I P—85,000—17-6-12.

Spiteful, such as any transfer applns would contain.

51/ Misc 48 - The Dy. finds fault with the Taluk Magte for not adjourning a case on an Advocate's letter. Why shd the Magte take notice of a letter?

The allegations in petns so ~~much~~ against Vaidyanathayya perhaps but there is hardly anything against the Magte in them.

No. 65. The para 4 of Petn contains an allegation that petr threatened her to give up a field to opponent. No enquiry was made but transfer was given to give confidence to her.

There is ~~very little~~ not much in any of these cases to require further investigation. For aught we know the transfer applns may all have been instigated & the

G1P—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 85 of 1913

Serial No.

By information brought to us ~~from~~ the same person from illwill.

2) When the further info is received, the papers may be submitted to Dewan.

26/9/13

Hm 27/9 Dewan 27/9 SPR 27/9

Hm 27-9-13 K forwarded to Dist Mag Bangalore 27-9-13

3) With reference to para 22 of the notes orders are solicited as to whether a reminder may be issued to the District Magistrate of Bangalore in respect of the report which he was asked in this office d.o.J 743 of 28-8-13. to submit to Govt. regarding certain irregularities in connection with the exhibition held at Nagasandra Tirumale in the Magadi Taluk. The report called for has not yet been received.

NLL 24-10-13.

4) Please send a reminder at once.

Draft do reminder submitted.

SPR 24/10

Hm 24/10

5) Issue & Submit if no reply is rec'd by end of this month

SPR 24/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 85 of 1913

Serial No.	
8	Do No J 1261 dated 25-10-13 to Mr. K. Chandy Dy Comm'r Bang, & Core- Refers him to this office do No J 743 dy. 28 & 13. (Serial 2) and requests him to expedite the submission of the report therein called for. 39 Submitted with reference to para 38. No reply has yet been rcd. If approved another reminder will be issued a week hence. Sd 1-11-13. 40 Dr sd) 41 Yes Sd 3/11 3/11
9	From K. Chandy Esquire Dy Comm'r of Bangalore D.O. No 202 dated 5th November 1913. With reference this office do. No J 743 dated 28th August 1913 calling for a confidential enquiry in the matter of certain alleged irregularities in connection with the Chinemale Exhibition in the Measure taken, forwards herewith in original & Govt copy of a do letter dy 28th Oct 1913 from the Sub-Division Officer Hoskote

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—85,000—17-6-12.

(1209)

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

c/o File No. 85 of 191 3

Serial No.	
	on the matter to say that the enquiry is not yet completed. 4th The Dy Commr also says that the Sub. Division officer has been requested to expedite the enquiry & submit a final report. 5th The report may be awaited. 44 yes Draw 8/11 8/11/13.
11	D.O. J 1865 dated 9th December 1913 from R. Chandy Esqre, Deputy Commr Bangalore. With reference to the confidential D.O. No J 743 of 28th August and reminders of 25th Octr and 11th December, 1913, issued from this office, forwards with information & Govt orders of Mr. Hanuma Rao Servis confidential letter No. 49 of 13th Dec 1913 & the records original re certain irregularities alleged to have been committed by Mr. Ranganatha Rao in connection with the Malur [illegible]
	4th 5th Subd. The Dy Commissioners letter states

IV

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C165 File No. 87 of 191

Serial No.

among other things
that W. Karnic Krishnamurti
reported certain irregularities of
the Amildar to Govt;
that W. Ananda Rao Sir ex-
amined the majority of the wit-
nesses whose evidence W. K. Krish
na murti had undertaken to produce; &
that their evidence does not bear
out the allegations of misappro-
priation &c made agst the amildar

& others. The enclosures sent are herewith
returned.

NRC
9/12/1916) The report of the Motor Press is
clear & completely exonerates the
Amildar from any malpractice
at the Nimmala Cattle Show. The keeping
of the witnesses mentioned by Karnic
Krishnamurti who cannot be got
together for examination does not say
anything prejudicial to their returning
evidence to clear the Amildar of any
misappropriation. There therefore
seems no use in further considering
this case. The allegations in this
are nuncupative pieces with more

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPP—65,000—17-C-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. File No. 85 of 191 3 - 14

25

Serial No.

Contained in paper applications already discussed sheets not to contain anything in these cases.

Dy Secy)

4 Jan 14

47) The Enquiry is admittedly incomplete and a very curious reason is put forward by the Dy Commr for such incompleteness viz the receipt of a Telegram from the Secretariat marked immediate. Mr Harnick Krishnamurthi offered to produce new witness which however, was not received in consequence.

48) It seems expedient to dispose of this case finally at this stage. The Dy Commr may be called upon to complete the enquiry & furnish fair with a report

1st Counsellor) Dmrs 24/12

47) I have questioned the Amildar after seeing these papers. I do not see any use in proceeding further with this enquiry, which may accordingly be dropped. I have personally warned him about his behaviour in the new place to which he is posted. I have so far done

24/12/13

Dmrs 26/12

6853
27-12-13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-C-12.

1865

26

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 85 of 1913-14.

Serial No.

I agree with the First Member of Council.

It is necessary that extracts from the confidential reports on the Amildar, including that of the Deputy Commissioner's letter under disposal (but omitting the names of the officers who gave the opinion to Government), should be forwarded to the Amildar confidentially, with a warning that if Government receive any further complaints of untrustworthiness on his part he would be either reduced or retired on pension.

Please put up a draft.

31.1.14

C. Secy.

Please put up Extracts from his confl Rpts & their send his file & Extracts B/ for favr & perusal & papers as regards the Decision. File is an unusual confl

31/1 31/1

Extract put up in a closed cover.

3.2.14.

Draft-Abstract herewith. During the previous 6 yrs the Amildar has been favorably reported upon & there is nothing unfavorable in the remarks & the forwarded Extracts by any means grave. The censure already administered should have been embodied in the revised draft put up.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—6,000—7-6-12.

C. Secy

Please put up draft as proposed

16/2

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C/5 File No. 85 of 1913-14

27

Serial No.	
57	The Enclosures from confidential reports herewith put up do not contain suffe material for his consideration as Censor & his amendment.
58	I have therefore considered it desirable to convey to his amendment his general impressions formed by him of his work from his confl reports & the reports about him ~~made~~ to
59	A copy of D.O. to his Dy Censor asking him to convey to ~~that~~ him the form of opinion of his work and to warn him of his retention or removal from Service - if we shd not be able with his present pay to submit for approval.
	Dewan 27/2
1894 28.2.14.	
60	Fair draft affd by the Dewan is placed with file.
	7/3/14.
	Bill
	~~the copy~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—6,000—7-6-12.

Serial No.

61) ~~Draft~~

The issue of news in the Canara Reforms.

62) Do the Reforms occur in the enclosed as per slip?

63) As the case, the draft when [illegible] is a Govt. Resolution covering the [illegible] Bill

4/3/14

To, The Rev. Comr: D.O. 467 & 9. 3. 14.

Conveys certain remarks of the Govt. for communication to Mr. M. Ranganatha Rao, Amildar.

The D.O. has been issued, and the substance of it noted in the confidential register. The draft ~~has~~ and the extracts from the Confdl. Reports are placed in a cover which will be sealed after the perusal of the Dy. Secretary.

Submitted to the Dy. Secretary.

10.3.14

Dmn 1913

Sealed cover placed in the file Dmn 1913

Subs with a draft returning the papers & records received from the Dmn. in three batches.

17.3.1914

Issued 17/3

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—6,000—7-1-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

c/o File No. 85 of 191 .

Serial No.

13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

Draft

Confidential.

D.O. J 74 [illegible]

My dear Sir,

I am desired to request you to be so good as to forward for the perusal of Govt. the records in original of all the cases in which applications for the transfer of criminal cases from the file of the Buldana Magistrate of Nagadi were made during the last six months.

(Records of applications for transfer only).

The records will be returned to you after ~~the reference is over~~ perusal

28-8-13

Yours sincerely,

Done 28/8

Draft 2

2

Confidential

D.O) 743 dt 28.8.13
to

2

My dear Sir,

I am desired to state that a complaint has been made to Govt. to the effect that the Exhibition lately held at Tirumalai in the Magadi Taluk was characterized by certain irregularities and that suspicion exists as to the proper utilisation of the moneys collected therefor.

I am directed to request you to be so good as to have confidential enquiries made into the complaint and a full and confidential report submitted to Govt. as early as practicable ~~on the results thereof~~.

28.8.13

Yours Sincerely.

Rc

Dne
28/8

CONFIDENTIAL.

F 791

SC 3

District Magistrate' Office,

Bangalore, 30th August 1913.

My dear Sir,

I have forwarded herewith, for your perusal and return, the marginally noted 15 Criminal Mis-- cellaneous petitions, filed in this Court since January 1913, and in which the petitioners have prayed for transfer of criminal cases from the file of the Amildar Magistrate, of the Magady Taluk to the file of some other court,, for disposal. The-C Criminal Mis. Case No. 11 of 1913-14 referred to is adjourned to the 6th Proximo for hearing. , as the petitioners' advocate in the case states that his client will prove his allegations made in the affidavit, although the Amildar Magistrate denies them in his report. This case may be returned before the date of hearimg. Another transfer application No. 17 of 1913-14 filed on the 22nd Instant has been forwarded to the said Amildar,

Mis. Case Petitions Nos. 11, 12, 14, 17, 32, 33, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 63, 65, 72, of and 11 of

Magistrate

Magistrate for his remarks and return.

Yours sincerely,

K. Chickaday

K.N. Sreenivasa Ryengar Esq., M. A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

2 drafts

4

G. R. S. 26]

C5 File No. 85-13

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 895

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 11.9.13

Signed by M.S.D. Nagappala

Chari

Crim. Mis. 63-12 J ✓

" 49-12 Z ✓

" 48-12 Y ✓

" 45-12 Z ✓

" 47-12 7 ✓

" 46-12 W ✓

" 65-12 D ✓

" 72-12 R ✓

To Dear Sir

I am desired to point out that the marginally noted crim. Misc. case received with your d.o. No 1124 dated 30th Aug 13 do not contain the orders of the District Magistrate and to enquire whether no orders have yet been passed in them and if so why. If orders have been passed in them, they may be forwarded for the information of Govt.

2 The records of crim. Misc application No 17 of 13 may be kindly sent for perusal and return and the result of application No. 11 of 13 which had been posted for hearing on the 6th inst may be intimated to Govt. as soon as the case is disposed of.

over.

SUBJECT.

Yours sincerely

10/9/13

K. Chandy Esq B.A.

District Magistrate

Bangalore

9/9

Mc

9-9-13.

2 Encls

5

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 88-13

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 5896

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

Date of issue 11.9.13

Signed by Murugappa Pala alias

Dear Sir

I am directed to invite Your attention to this office d.o. No. 742 dated 28-8-13 requesting the submission for perusal of Govt. of all cases in which applications for the Transfer of criminal cases from the file of the amildar-magistrate of Nagari were made during the past six months, and to return the 4 cases marginally noted as they do not relate to the file of that amildar-Magistrate. ~~address~~ ~~to whom the cases are to be returned~~.

Mis 14-12
" 12-12
" 11-12
" 17-12

Yours Sincerely

over.

10/9/13

SUBJECT.

9/9

9-9-13.

Compl

J9114

6

Office of the District Magistrate
Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, 11th September'13

No.-------

From

K.Chandy Esq.,B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

To

K.R.Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq.,M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl.and Rev.Deptts.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the entire records in criminal miscellaneous case No.11 of 1913-14 on the file of this -- court, I have the honor to state that the allegations made by the petitioner in his affidavit, to the effect that the Amildar Magistrate of Magadi, put him in confinement for a day without reason, is a serious one. I dismissed the ---- transfer application as the allegations made by the petitioner was reported to be untrue by the Amildar Magistrate in his No.62 dated 6th August 1913. The application dated 6th September 1913 in which petitioner says that he will -- prove the allegations was not supported in person by the petitioner or his counsel. One step that suggests itself is to direct the Amildar Magistrate to take steps against the petitioner for defamation, provided the said petitioner does not take steps against the Amildar in the matter of the wrongful confinement within a certain period. Any enquiry on the executive side by me in the matter will not be a judicial enquiry and as such will not be altogether fair to the Amildar if it be adverse to him nor binding on the other party if adverse to the latter. I do not believe that an accused person is completely immune from a charge of defamation. In a recent

case

CONFIDENTIAL.

1913-14

From

The District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

To

The Secy to Govt,
Genl & Rev Depts,
Bangalore

Dated 10/9/13-14-

Despatched 11 Sept 13.

Received

General No. S. 269.

No.

Enclosure No. 1 Ltr & Recds

Solicits orders of Govt in the matter of certain allegations against the Assistant Magistrate of Anekal for wrongful confinement &c.

GPB—50,000—26-9-12

7

case it was held that falsely accusing(when making a similar transfer application before a District Magistrate) a Tashildar Magistrate of asking for a bribe amounted to defamation.

I beg accordingly to solicit the orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

K Chaudy

District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.
1.

J. 916. 85137

CONFIDENTIAL.

C. 7

No. 145/Ex. in a separate Cover.

District Magistrate's Office,

Bangalore, 12th September 1913.

Dear Sir,

With reference to Mr. Rajagopalachari's D.O. letter No.J.895/Cts.85-13-4 dated 11th instant, I beg to forward the orders of the District Magistrate called for in the above, also records of miscellaneous case No.17 of 1913-14, and of another case No.12 of 1913-14, in which some irregularities will be noticed.

The records in criminal miscellaneous case No.11 of 1913-14 were submitted to Government with this office No.S.269 dated 11th September 1913.

In your letter No.79 dated 30th August 1913, it was noted in the margin that records of applications for transfer only were to be submitted.

Yours Sincerely,

K Chandy

To

K.N.Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of H.H.

the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Deptts.

G. R. S. 26] 24/10

Diary No. of letter replied to

Confidential.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 25.10.13

Signed by Mr S P Rajagopalachari

reminder to the Govt. Bangalore re submission of the report of the exhibition at Dimmalai in the Magadi Taluk.

File No. 35 of

Serial No. 38

No. J 1261

Do.

To

Dear Sir

Please refer this office do No J 243 dated 28.8.13 requesting you to have confidential inquiries made into a complaint regarding certain irregularities in connection with the Exhibition lately held at Dimmalai in the Magadi Taluk and to submit a full confidential report to Govt as early as practicable.

2. I am desired to request you to be so good as to

over.

SUBJECT.

Consider this matter very urgent and respectfully the transmission of the report above mentioned.

Yours Sincerely

H. Seay

Sgd

Hon
Tampico.

K. Chandy Esq
Depty Consl. Durango.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

J1429.

1913-14

11

85-13

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 5th November 1913.

My dear Sir,

With reference to Mr. S.P.Rajagopala Chari's D.O.Letter No. J.743 dated the 28th August 1913, requesting that a confidential enquiry be made regarding certain alleged irregularities brought to the notice of the Government in connection with the Exhibition lately held at Thirumale, I enclose herein copy of a D. O.Letter dated the 25th October 1913 from the Sub-Division Officer, Closepet, in the matter, from which it will be seen that the enquiry is not yet completed. I have written to the Sub-Division Officer to expedite the matter and submit a final report. I shall write to you again on receipt of his report.

Yours sincerely,

K. Chandy

M.R.Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq,M.A,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.
Bangalore.

12

Copy of D.O.Letter dated Closepet, 28th October 1913 from the Sub-Division Officer, Closepet Division, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

Enlgrs 85-13-9

Referring to your D.O.No. 184 dated the 25th Inst sending in original the D.O.from the Secretariat in connection with the Exhibition at Thirumalai and requesting the early submission of the report, I beg to inform you that I have examined some members of the Committee.

I wrote to Mr.Karnick Krishnamurthi Row who was also a member. He replied that he was engaged at Mysore and could not come earlier than the end of this month. When I met him at Bangalore on the 26th, he said he would come about the middle of next month. At present the enquiry is not complete; and if the records are wanted immediately I shall send them. At the present stage I require some time more to complete and send it. As the enquiry is confidential I did not issue any notices to any members, but I wrote to some of them demi-officially. They are taking their own time to come, and hence this delay.

" C. O P Y "

K Beandy

Deputy Commissioner.

13

C O N F I D E N T I A L.

F 1865
D-O- 272.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 19th December 1913.

My dear Sir,

Adverting to confidential D.O.No.J.743 dated 28th August 1913 and reminders of 25th October and 11th -- December 1913, I beg to forward enclosed copy of Mr.Ananda Rao Sirsi's confidential letter No.19 and the records in original as regards certain irregularities said to have been committed by Amildar Mr.Ranganatha Rao in connection with the Exhibition at Magadi.

2 It will be seen that the D.O. makes mention of 'certain irregularities', and does not disclose the source of the information received by Government. Simultaneously with the receipt of the D.O., however, Mr.Karnick Krishnamurti called and told me that he had reported certain irregularities of the Amildar to Government and that the matter had been referred to me for enquiry. I requested him therefore to -- specify them, and the terms of my reference to the Sub-Divisio officer, dated 29th August 1913 were based on Mr.Krishnamurti's information, and it is therefore somewhat more detailed than the D.O.from Government (Vide copy enclosed).

As a reminder marked immediate, was sent from Government, Mr.AnandaRao Sirsi was unable to examine all the evidence that Mr.Karnick Krishnamurti offered to produce, but the majority of witnesses have been examined, and their -- evidence does not in any manner corroborate Mr.Karnick -- Krishnamurti's allegations as regards misappropriation of subscriptions etc. From enquiries made of Mr.Lingaiya, the previous Amildar, and in the District Fund Branch of my office and from Mr.Ananda Rao Sirsi's enquiry, it is also clear that the charge of misappropriation of medals or money prizes is quite baseless.

14

I have reason to believe that the Amildar's character is not above reproach and that he had been giving too much latitude to Muktihar and contractor Vaidyanatha Iyer; but although I have had to enquire into several complaints against Amildars and other officials, it has always been my experience that while men are willing to send anonymous or pseudonymous petitions, or make verbal representations that involve no risk to themselves, no one has yet come forward to take personal pains or make any personal sacrifice for purifying the administration; and it seems that the general level of public honesty will go higher only with general improvements in Education and after men are found who are willing to stand by, and if need be, <u>suffer for</u>, the representations made by them in the public interests.

Yours Sincerely,

K. Chandy

To

K.R.Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev.
Departments.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Judl 585-13-11 15

Letter No.19 dated 13th December 1913 from Mr.AnandaRao Sirsi, Sub-Division Officer, Closepet, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

Adverting to your D.O.No.111 dated 29th August 1913 enclosing in original the D.O.No.743 from the General and Revenue Secretariat, dated 28th August 1913 and two reports of the Amildar of the Magadi Taluk and requesting me to hold a confidential enquiry into the allegations of certain irregularities and improper utilization of moneys collected in connection with the Cattle Show and Exhibition held at -- Tirumale, I have the honor to state as follows:-

On receipt of the D.O.letter, I went personally to Magadi on the 23rd of September 1913, and sent for all the records in connection with the Exhibition and Cattle show. After having secured them, I examined the Secretary of the Exhibition Committee, one of the members Mr.T.Ramachendra Rao - Pleader of the place - and the Amildar himself. As I came to know that Mr.Karnick Krishnamurti would be able to give some information in the matter, I wrote to him to come. He wrote to me in reply that he was engaged in the arrangements for "Representatives' Home" and would come after that was over. I wrote to him again in November and it was only on the 2nd December 1913, he was able to come and give his statement. He named certain persons as those who - he was told - had subscribed and paid large amounts and furnished materials for Pendal. I sent for them through the Sheikdars when I had gone to Solur on the 9th December 1913 in connection with some revenue inspection work. As some of those he had named were absent from the village, I examined such of them as were present. In all I have recorded the statements of 13 persons. Since I have been asked in your D.O.No.264 dated 12th December 1913 to send my reply immediately, I submit this report together

16

together with all the statements I have recorded and other papers that I have received from the Amildar.

Government have sanctioned for the Exhibition and Cattle Show in all Rs.251/- excluding Rs.132-6-0 the value of gold and silver medals and the amount incurred for miscellaneous expenditure in the previous year. The Amount though sanctioned has not yet been drawn from the records and Amildar's statement, it appears that two bills for Rs.88/- only (excluding the amount of subscription Rs.35/- collected) actually incurred towards expenditure have been submitted to the District for sanction and issue of a cheque.

The Amildar in his statement says that at a meeting that was convened to collect subscriptions for the exhibition and ~~the~~ cattle show, a sum of Rs.112/- was subscribed but only Rs.35/- was collected of which Rs.25/- was paid as money prizes to cattle owners and Rs.10/- was advanced to Kasba Shanbhog for the supply of flowers, pan-supari, etc. He says that a sum of Rs.88/- in all is yet due and is to be paid towards expenditure incurred; Rs.10/- for clearing the cattle show ground of lantana and other bushes and levelling it; Rs.8/- to Photographer for having taken photos of prize won cattle; Rs.35/- towards wages of -- wadders and coolies engaged to construct a pendal for the Agricultural and Industrial Exhibition; Rs.15/- towards balance of durbar expenses such as band, decorating the pendal flowers, pan-supari etc. and Rs.20/- towards prizes to exhibitors.

As regards the distribution of gold and silver medals and payment of money prizes to cattle owners, there is no doubt as the fact is spoken to by the Secretary of the Committee Krishna Rao, Sub-Registrar., Mr.T.Ramachendra Rao and others. There is no complaint of any prize -- winners that their prizes were not given to them.

As regards the expenditure incurred for clearing the ground, wages for erecting a pendal and durbar ex-

penses,

17

expenses, the amount claimed is so small, I can't say that it could not have been incurred. It is admitted on all hands that a Pendal had been erected, ground had been cleared to form an enclosure for cattle, a photographer had been sent for and did take out photos. Under the head "Durbar expenses" a sum of Rs.25/- is shown to have been spent. This is so -- insignificant an amount for the occasion, it is too much to say, so much could not have been spent. As the money prizes are yet to be awarded there is no room for any misappropriation.

Though from the statements of some of the persons it is known that Municipal sweepers, ryots of neighbouring villages and criminal gangmen had been employed in the erection of the pendal and clearing the ground and other work, the -- Police Inspector and Sheristedar Padmanabhaiya state that others and some wodders of Vaidyanatha Iyer had been employed on this duty. Considering the amount of work that has been done and which can be seen even now in the shape of clearing the bushes and levelling the ground, it cannot be supposed that the -- whole was done by impressed labour and nothing was paid to the men employed on the work. Even this amount is yet unpaid and only a bill is submitted for sanction.

As regards the amount collected by subscription, there is nothing except the list produced by the Amildar. Mr.Karnick Krishnamurti alone states that sums much higher than what is shown in the subscription list and from many persons not included therein, were collected. His statement on this point is only hearsay. Of the persons, he named, I examined 9, of whom not one corroborates him. Some say that they paid nothing and others that they paid only what is shown in the subscription list. From the materials before me there is nothing from which it could be inferred or presumed that anything more than what is said to have been realized, was -- collected.

Since no one comes forward with any personal knowledge to speak on the points and as it is easy to make reckless

reckless statements against Government officers under the shelter of anonymous or pseudonomous petitions - more ~~44~~ especially when there is nothing to risk and nothing to lose - and as in this case the amount actually incurred for expenditure is so small leaving little margin for any misappropriation and seeing the evidence now before me, I cannot but conclude my report that there is nothing to hold the complaint is well founded.

"C O P Y"

K. Chaudry

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.
1.

19.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Memo No.111 dated 29th August 1913 to the Sub-Division officer, Closepet, issued on Genl. and Rev.Secretary's D.O.No. J.745 dated 28th August 1913.

Forwarded to the Sub-Division officer, Closepet, for very early enquiry and report. The complaint is that the amount sanctioned from District Funds and what was collected (the latter about Rs.400/-)was not spent, and that the exhibition was closed as soon as the visitors left and medals issued were only for cattle and old medals prepared by Mr.Lingaiya and not from the new grant etc. The file is sent for reference.

"C O P Y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.P.
1.

20

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 85-13

Serial No. 13

Diary No. of letter replied to

D 2763

No. Jud Revs

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 23-3-14

Signed by S.P. Rajagopalachari

Cr mis cases nos 32, 33, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 63, 65, 172 of 1912-13 recd with d.o. no 114 dy 30.8.1913

Nos 12 & 17 of 1913-14 recd with d.o. no 145d 12.9.1913

Dear Sir,

I am desired to return herewith the marginally noted Cr mis. petitions & records connected herewith as also the records connected with the exhibits at Mayavaram recd with your d.o. no 272 dy 19-12-1913.

Yours sincerely

for Secy

To

K. Chandy Esq B.A.

Dist. Magistrate, Bangalore

over.

SUBJECT.

Mis/1913-14